







Evolution of Hominid Brain Size	Expensive tissue hypothesis
 History of brain size Are big brains a side effect of big bodies? Why did selection favor big brains? (o) Unpredictable food resource, (o) Unpredictable climate, (3) Expensive tissue hypothesis, (4) Social brain hypothesis. Conclusions 	 Big brains always useful, but also costly. Switch to a meat diet would reduce the cost, and thus favor big brains.
	Evolution of Hominid Brain Size
Will revisit it in a lecture on hunting. But not today.	 History of brain size Are big brains a side effect of big bodies? Why did selection favor big brains? (○) Unpredictable food resource, (○) Unpredictable climate, (○) Expensive tissue hypothesis, (4) Social brain hypothesis. Conclusions
Social Brain Hypothesis (Dunbar)	100
 Animals compete with others of their species. Those who live in groups compete more. The larger the group the more the competition. It takes brains to win. Predicts high neocortex ratio where groups are large. 	 b large groups ⇒ high neocortex ratio b Supports social brain hypothesis 1 1 10 Neocortex Ratio

Size of Human Social Groups

More deception in species with large neocortex

- Human brain size implies group size of about 150.
- Local groups of human hunter-gathers often number about 25.
- But these are temporary groupings formed from larger groups of about 150.
- ▶ 150 is the size of the most inclusive human group within which everyone knows everyone.

Why is so much neocortex needed when groups are large?



Summary

- ▶ 3 myr–100 kya: Trajectory unclear. Either
 - constant rate, or
 - ► rapid change at 2 myr, then slow.
- after 100 kya: Rapid change.
- ► Not a side-effect of selection for body size.
- Probably not a response to unpredictable food.

Selection may have favored big brains because

- Expensive tissue hypothesis: shift to mean reduced cost of brains
- Pleistocene climates favored flexible response
- Social brain hypothesis: large groups favor large brains